

APPLICATION FOR VARIATION OF A PREMISES LICENCE IN RESPECT OF 'GOLDEN GALLEON FISH & CHIPS, 61 COMMERCIAL ROAD, HEREFORD HR1 2BP.' - LICENSING ACT 2003

Report By: Head Of Environmental Health And Trading Standards

Wards Affected:

Hereford City

1. Purpose

To consider an application for a variation of a premises licence in respect of Golden Galleon Fish & Chips, 61 Commercial Road, Hereford.

2. Background Information

Applicant	Mr Harjinder Singh	
Solicitor	PCB Solicitors	
Type of application: Variation	Date received: 6/05/08	28 Days consultation 03/06/2008

The advertisement for the premise has not been seen at this time.

3. Current Licence

The current licence allows: -

Provision of late night refreshment 23.00 hrs – 01.30 hrs

Non standard timings are: -

Closed on Christmas Day.

Open from 10:00 on New Years Eve to 01:30 the morning following New Year's Day.

4. Summary of Application

There is no application to change the licensable activities shown on the premise licence. However the application requests to extend the hours of opening for late night refreshment..

The hours applied for are, Monday – Thursday 23.00 – 02.00
Friday – Sunday 23.00 – 04.00

Seasonal variations are requested for Christmas Eve and New Years Day (an additional 2 hours) and New Years Eve (an additional 4 hours.)

5. Summary of Representations

Copies of the representations and suggested conditions can be found within the background papers.

West Mercia Police

Have representation to make in relation to the application and can be found in the background papers.

Environmental Health

The Environmental Health Officer has made representation that the application be refused on the grounds of the prevention of public nuisance, copy in background papers.

Highway Authority

Whilst not a responsible authority this authority has commented upon the application.

Fire Authority.

The fire authority has no comment to make in relation to the application.

Interested Parties.

The Local Authority has received letters of representation in respect of the application, from interested parties and are contained in the background papers.

6. Issues for Clarification

This Authority has not requested any clarification at this time.

8. Committees Responsibility

The committee is responsible for promoting the four licensing objectives.

In determining the application with a view to promoting the licensing objectives in the overall interests of the local community, the licensing authority must give appropriate weight to:

- The steps that are necessary to promote the licensing objectives;
- The representations (including supporting information) presented by all parties;
- The Guidance issued to local authorities under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003
- The Herefordshire Council Licensing Policy

9. Options: -

It is for the committee to take such steps below as it considers necessary for the promotion of the licensing objectives:

- Grant the licence subject to conditions that are consistent with the operating schedule accompanying the application and the mandatory conditions set out in the Licensing Act 2003.
- Grant the licence subject to modified conditions to that of the operating schedule where the committee considers it necessary for the promotion of the licensing objectives and add mandatory condition set out in the Licensing Act 2003.
- To exclude from the scope of the licence any of the licensable activities to which the application relates.
- To refuse to specify a person in the licence as the premise supervisor
- To reject the application.

10. Background Papers

Background papers are available for inspection in the Council Chamber, Town Hall, Hereford, 30 minutes before the start of the hearing.

NOTES**RELEVANT, VEXATIOUS AND FRIVOLOUS REPRESENTATIONS**

9.8. A representation would only be “relevant” if it relates to the likely effect of the grant of the licence on the promotion of at least one of the licensing objectives. For example, a representation from a local businessman which argued that his business would be commercially damaged by a new licensed premises would not be relevant. On the other hand, a representation that nuisance caused by the new premises would deter customers from entering the local area and the steps proposed by the applicant to control that nuisance were inadequate would be relevant. There is no requirement for an interested party or responsible authority to produce a recorded history of problems at a premises to support their representations, and in fact this would not be possible for new premises. Further information for interested parties about the process for making representations is available in “Guidance for interested parties: Making representations” which can be found on the DCMS website.

9.9 The “cumulative impact” on the licensing objectives of a concentration of multiple licensed premises may also give rise to a relevant representation when an application for the grant or variation of a premises licence is being considered, but not in relation to an application for review which must relate to an individual premises.

9.10 It is for the licensing authority to determine whether any representation by an interested party is frivolous or vexatious on the basis of what might ordinarily be considered to be vexatious or frivolous. Vexation may arise because of disputes between rival businesses and local knowledge will therefore be invaluable in considering such matters. Frivolous representations would be essentially categorised by a lack of seriousness. An interested party who is aggrieved by a rejection of their representations on these grounds may challenge the authority’s decision by way of judicial review.

9.11. Licensing authorities should not take decisions on whether representations are relevant on the basis of any political judgment. This may be difficult for ward councilors receiving complaints from residents within their own wards. If consideration is not to be delegated, contrary to the recommendation in this Guidance, an assessment should be prepared by officials for consideration by the subcommittee before any decision is taken that necessitates a hearing. Any ward councilor who considers that their own interests are such that they are unable to consider the matter independently should disqualify themselves.

9.12 The Secretary of State recommends that in borderline cases, the benefit of the doubt should be given to the interested party making the representation. The subsequent hearing would then provide an opportunity for the person or body making the representation to amplify and clarify it. If it then emerged, for example, that the representation should not be supported, the licensing authority could decide not to take any action in respect of the application.

Licensing Authorities power to exercise substantive discretionary powers.**The British Beer and Pub Association (2) The Association of Licensed Multiple Retailers (3) The British Institute of Inn keeping V Canterbury City Council.**

Contesting the validity of the Licensing policy that asks for certain requirements before the application has been submitted. In the summing up the Judge states; The scheme of the legislation is to leave it to applicants to determine what to include in their applications, subject to the requirements of Section 17 and the Regulations as to the prescribed form and the inclusion of a statement of specified matters in the operating schedule. An applicant who makes the right judgement, so that the application gives rise to no relevant representations, is entitled to the grant of a licence without the imposition of conditions. The licensing authority has no power at all to lay down the contents of an application and has no power to assess an application, or exercise substantive discretionary powers in relation to it, unless there are relevant representations and the decision – making function under section 18 (3) is engaged.